UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/810,512	03/26/2004	James R. Tighe	062891.1231	1184
5073 BAKER BOTT	7590 05/09/200 S L.L.P.	EXAMINER		
2001 ROSS AV SUITE 600	·=	MOUTAOUAKIL, MOUNIR		
DALLAS, TX	75201-2980	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2619	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/09/2008	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

ptomail1@bakerbotts.com glenda.orrantia@bakerbotts.com

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/810,512	TIGHE ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
MOUNIR MOUTAOUAKIL	2619	

	MOUNIR MOUTAOUAKIL	2619	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>11 April 2008</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL	LOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appelor Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 Coperiods:	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit eal (with appeal fee) in compliance v	, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(1)	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE f).	date of the final rejection FIRST REPLY WAS FII	on. LED WITHIN TWO
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount of shortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing date	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with AMENDMENTS 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, to (a) They raise new issues that would require further core (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below (c) They are not deemed to place the application in beta properly and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a content of the co	nsideration and/or search (see NOT w); ter form for appeal by materially rec	E below); lucing or simplifying th	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proving the proposed amendment of the proposed	: lowable if submitted in a separate, t ☑ will not be entered, or b) ☑ will	imely filed amendmer	nt canceling the
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-22 and 24-39. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE		tion of Americal will made	de antono d
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea , and was not earlier presented. Se	ll and/or appellant fails ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1)	s to provide a).
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER		•	
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but see Continuation.		condition for allowan	ce because:
12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i>(s). (13. ☐ Other:	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
/Hassan Kizou/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2619			

- 1. Applicant's arguments filed 04-11-2008 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.
- 2. Applicants argue that Bales reference fails to teach "tunneling the message in the audio communication link to the remote device". Applicants argue that the reference discusses/teaches conventional signaling and fails to describe or teach tunneling. Examiner respectfully disagrees. there are different ways to achieve successful signaling between devices. As it is well known in the realm of communication, one of the techniques of signaling employs an endpoint message address which is of routing significance to both the originating and destination interworked networks. Prior to a signaling message arriving at the ingress node of the intermediate network through which the signaling message is to be routed, the endpoint address is encapsulated in the signaling message and is thereafter routed to the appropriate egress node of the intermediate network via an intermediate address which is of routing significance to the intermediate network. Upon emerging from the egress node of the intermediate network, for instance at the ingress node of the destination network, the signaling message reverts to the use of the original endpoint message address which retains its routing significance to the destination network. Thus, this signaling technique is known as "tunneling". Therefore, the inherency of tunneling is proper and the rejection is proper as well.
- 3. Applicants argue that bales reference fails to tech a method for supporting communications comprising "aggregating the media capabilities associated with the packet-based telephony device and the enhanced media capabilities associated with the computing device". Examiner respectfully disagrees. It is the examiner's position that according to the broadest reasonable interpretation given to the claims, Bales teaches the limitation(s) in question as claimed by applicants. (col.3) It is clearly described in details that the system aggregates media capabilities of each element/device employed in the communication between terminals. Element 101, Figs.1 and 2, collects media capabilities of the network elements.

Claims are not to be read in a vacuum, and limitations therein are to be interpreted in light of the specification in giving them their 'broadest reasonable interpretation'.